



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJAST_3296
Title of the Manuscript:	User Perception of Level of Security on Social Networking Sites Using Fuzzy Logic

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	➤ Lines 82-83: You say that it is reasonable to apply fuzzy logic, but you do not justify why the factors are ambiguous (you need examples and references from the literature) ➤ Part 2.2 You do not explain why you decided to use the specific fuzzy sets (references) ➤ Line 159: You mention the results of a survey. What is that survey? (same in line 179) ➤ You need to put numbers and explanations in all figures (lines 290, 326, 343, 445, 461, 477, 507) ➤ You need to put numbers in all equations. You have not done this in any of them. ➤ Part 5.1: You explain the linguistic outputs of the security level, but you do not explain based on what methodology you do that (see equations in line 457 etc.) ➤ You do not say anything about the respondents' demographical data, the number of your valid answers and the time your research took place.	Corrected: Examples and more references have been provided Corrected: Explanation has been given with references. Corrected: The survey has been explained. Corrected: All figures have now been assigned numbers. Corrected: All equations have also been assigned numbers. Corrected: The methodology for the computation of the linguistic output has been well explained. Corrected: The respondents' demographical data has been provided and explained.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	➤ Line 95: ...that fuzzy logic (FI) -> put (FL) instead ➤ Line 96: Before [16] you have a full stop. Do you need it there? ➤ Part 1.3: needs rewriting (use better English) ➤ Line 153: you forgot to mention "Confidential" ➤ Line 248: "A sample of the rule..." -> replace it	Corrected Corrected Corrected Replaced



SDI Review Form 1.6

	with "The sample..." ➤ Line 433: "in figure 4.10" -> it is figure 9 instead. ➤ Line 530: Just use 6. CONCLUSION and not 6.0 ➤ You need to better form your References, since they are not uniform and according to the guidelines.	Corrected Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	➤ Line 280: you say X2 is the kernel value. Do you mean the modal?	Corrected: Yes the Kernel is the modal. It has been replaced. Thank you